

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,534	02/11/2004	Dan Kalish	7044-X08-194	5140
27317 Fleit Gibbons (	7590 11/06/2008 Gutman Bongini & Bianco	EXAMINER		
21355 EAST D	IXIE HIGHWAY		FAN, HUA	
SUITE 115 MIAMI, FL 33	180		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
Pre-Interview Communication	10/777,534	KALISH, DAN	
(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HUA FAN	2456	Page 1 of 4
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	<del></del>	nddress
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE	MONTH OR THIRTY	
This time period for reply is NOT extendable under under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).	37 CFR 1.136(a). This cor	nmunication constitu	tes notice
To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant mu-	st, within this time period fo	or reply, file:	
(1) A letter requesting not to have a first-action inte	erview, or		
(2) A completed Applicant Initiated Interview Requirements.	est Form (PTOL-413A) acc	companied by a propos	ed amendment
Inventor participation in the Pre-First Action Interview is	encouraged if it would exp	pedite resolution of the	application.
Disposition of Claims	•		
3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
8)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or .		
9)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2004</u> is/a	·	objected to by the Eval	miner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· -		
,	zxammon rioto uno attaorio		. , 6
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	n neineity under 25 U.S.C. S	C 110(a) (d) or (f)	
<ul><li>11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 0.5.C. §	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	ate have been received		
Certified copies of the priority document  Certified copies of the priority document		application No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·	and Stane
application from the International Burea	•	received in this Nation	iai Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		eived.	
Contact Information		•	
Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)270-5311			
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: M-F 9am-6p	om EST	•	
Supervisor's Name: Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit.			
Supervisor's Telephone Number: (571) 272-3913	3		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	·	

## **Pre-Interview Communication**

(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)

Objetion to Claim

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10777534	KALISH, DAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HUA FAN	2456 Pag	e 2 of 4

Minor informality needs to be corrected "can changed" to "can be changed"

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection
1	none	none	Objection to Drawings	Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 are labeled for incorrect figures, they should swich with each other.
2	1, 5-10 and 13-17	none	Objection to Drawings	Feagures claimed, such as "rules" in claims 1, 5-7, and 13-17, "user preference" in claims 8-10 are not shown in Drawings
3	11	none	Object to Specification	The term "parsing" is not defined in specification therefore lacks antecedent basis. For examination purpose, examiner interprets as "choosing"
4	11	none	112(1)	"parsing" is not enabled, see objections to specification above

	Expanded Discussion/Commentary					
		-				
DATE: 10/21/20	DATE: /H. F./ 10/21/2008					

5

12

none

## Pre-Interview Communication (continued)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner	Art Unit	Page 3 of 4

Notification of Potential Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Potential Rejection
6	1	A, B	103(a)	Reference A discloses claim 1 (see fig.11A-B; col. 34, lines 45-48; col. 35, lines 27-41, mergeing images 1121 and 1123 to content layout elements according to rule (theme) in realtime before display; see col. 34, line 6, "wireless phones") (see cont' below)
7	2-3, 57 and 11-12	A, B .	103(a)	Building on the rejection to claim 1, A-B discloses: claim 2 (A,col.30,lines 37-51); claim 3 (A,col.30,lines 27-31, "default theme layout";col.35,lines 21-26, "static information stored with the theme";col.30,lines 37-40 when no dynamic logic) (cont' below)
8	8 and 10	A, B, H	103(a)	A-B dislcoses retrieving skin image from designated remote server (A, fig.10,1050; col.33,lines 11-30, "obtain contentfromexternal source1050") according to user preference (A, clm 33; col.7,lines 31-41), (cont' below)
9	9	A, B, H	103(a)	A-B dislcoses retrieving skin image from local stroage of wireless phone (A, fig.10, "Content"; col. 34, lines 1-7, "wireless phone") according to user preference (A, claim 33; col. 7, lines 31-41), (see cont. below)
10	13-17	A, B, H	· 103(a)	Building on the rejection of claim 10, A-B-H discloses: claim 13 (see A, col. 3, lines 62-66, "location signal"; col. 6, lines 28-35, "context modelgeographic information (e.g., location and speed"; col. 34, lines 5-8, "wireless phone"); (see cont. below)

	Expanded Discussion/Commentary							
6		except for "hypertext language based pages ("content pages")" and "segmented skin image sections". B discloses segmented background image sections (col. 3, lines 21-37) and hypertext language based pages (col. 1, lines 23-30; col. 3, lines 7-11). It would have been obvious to apply reference B's segmented background image section and HTML method with reference A's teachings, in order to expand the banner to fit larger and/or higher resolution displays without using a separate GIF banner image for each targeted display type (see B, col. 2, lines 42-47).						
7		claim 5 (B, col. 3, lines 32-43, merging image segments to content layout is performed by "the browser itself"); claim 6 (A, figure 12A-H; col. 6, lines 48-52, "user-specified"); claim 7 (A, col. 47, lines 5-7); claim 11 (A, col. 35, lines 30-37, map images are chosen based on content layout, to determine whether to display local map image or traffic map image); claim 12 (A, col. 19, lines 30-43, appropriate map image is displayed according to the selected predefined destination in the predefined layout/rule)						
8		but does not disclose caching at remote system. H discloses retrieving web content from the cache memory of one of the designated remote servers (col. 4, lines 21-28). It would have been obvious to apply reference H's teaching of retrieving from cache of remote servers to teachings of A-B, in order for the data to be displayed on small screen devices in an efficient manner (H, col. 3, lines 42-45).						
9		but does not expressly disclose the local storaged contents are cached data. H discloses local caching (col. 4, lines 35-47, "retrieval data stored locally"; col. 3, lines 20-25, "Web browsers use a cache"; col. 4, lines 57-65, "small screen display device"). It would have been obvious to apply reference H's local caching system to A-B's teachings, in order to provide a faster response time for retrieving data than data stored in a remote cache (H, col. 4, lines 44-45)						
10		claim 14 (see A, figure 11A-H, Starting the day theme, Drving to wrok theme, Arriving at work theme, etc.); claim 15 (see A, col. 6, lines 37-40, claim 16 (see A, figure 12A-H; col. 6, lines 48-52, "user-specified";col. 34, line 6, "wireless phone"); claim 17 (see A, col. 47, lines 5-7)						
DATE: 10/22/2008  Examiner Signature: /H. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2456  Primary Examiner Signature:			Primary Examiner Signature:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413FP (Rev. 04-08)

## **Pre-Interview Communication** (continued)

Application No. Applicant(s) 10777534 KALISH, DAN Art Unit Examiner Page 4 of 4 2456 **HUA FAN** 

Notification of Potential Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

	Notification of Potential Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)						
#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Potential Rejection			
11	18	A, B, H	103(a)	Reference A discloses claim 18 (see citations of reference A in claim 1-2, and 8-9 rejections) except "image sections", "in response to a request received by a mobile phone", and "hyper text language based pages ("content pages")". (see cont. below)			
12	4	A, B, I	103(a)	A-B does not disclose processed by Proxy Server. I dislcoses processing transcoding by a proxy server (figure 4). It would have been obvious to combine A-B and I regarding processing transcoding by a proxy server, (see continuation below)			
	•						

	Expanded Discussion/Commentary						
11		have been obvious to apply response to a request receiv	B's segmented image section and HTML red by a mobile phone (col. 4, lines 21-25	7) and hypertext based pages (col.1,lines 23-30; c method with A,see similar motivation in claim 1 re 5, 56-65). It would have been obvious to combine See similar motivation in claim 8 and 10 rejections	ejection. H dislcoses "in A-B with the teachings of		
12		in order to alleviate thin client from performing transcoding locally (I, col. 2, lines 21-24).					
		·					
				)			
DAT	DATE: Examiner Signature: Brimer Signature:						

10/22/2008

/H. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 2456

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413FP (Rev. 04-08)

**Pre-Interview Communication** 

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20081020